ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION\_

VOTE YES TO TAKE A STAND AGAINST THE POWER OF SPECIAL INTERESTS

AND LOBBYISTS IN CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT.

VOTE "YES" ON PROPOSITION 89, THE CLEAN MONEY AND FAIR ELECTIONS

ACT

We have a crisis of corruption in our government marked by scandal after scandal and

criminal investigations of politicians from both parties. It is time for Californians to

clean up this corruption, and make politicians accountable to voters instead of big money

campaign contributors.

THE PROBLEM

Right now, special interests like big oil companies, the drug giants, the insurance

industry, and HMOs can get their way in Sacramento by donating millions to elect

politicians who will owe them favors. Lobbyists and special interests use campaign

contributions to pass their pork barrel projects and create tax loopholes - costing

consumers and taxpayers like you billions of dollars each year.

THE SOLUTION: PROPOSITION 89

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION 89

If you're dissatisfied with the way campaigns are funded in California and the effect of campaign contributions on state government, Vote Yes on Prop. 89.

YOUR "YES" VOTE WILL:

- Help level the playing field and make our elections more fair and competitive
   so that candidates with the best ideas have a chance to win, even if they are not rich or well connected to wealthy special interest groups and lobbyists.
- Require candidates to adhere to strict spending limits and reject special interest contributions in order to qualify for public financing.
- 3. Ban contributions to candidates by lobbyists and state contractors.
- Set limits on outside, so-called "independent" campaign committees created by big contributors to influence elections.
- Limit to \$10,000 the amount corporations can spend directly on ballot measure campaigns.
- 6. Restrict contributions by corporations, unions, and individuals to \$500 for candidates for state legislature, \$1,000 to candidates for statewide office.
- Establish tough penalties, including jail time and removing candidates from office who break the law.

NOT FUNDED BY INDIVIDUAL TAXPAYERS OR THE STATE BUDGET

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION 89

Proposition 89 is specifically funded by a modest increase in the corporate income tax

rate - raising it from 8.84% to 9.04%. The resulting corporate income tax rate would still

be less than it was from 1980 until 1996. Corporations should pay their fair share in

taxes.

WHEN YOU HEAR THE ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION 89, REMEMBER:

•Opposition to Proposition 89 is being led and funded by the big oil companies, drug

companies, the insurance industry, HMOs, and other entrenched interests.

• Proposition 89 was drafted and reviewed by experts in constitutional and election law,

and put on the ballot and backed by Democrats, Republicans, and independent voters.

•The opponents of Proposition 89 want to keep the system exactly the way it is, because

they know it works for them, NOT for you. They are making false claims against

Proposition 89 because they want to keep political power for themselves rather than

having fair elections that make politicians accountable to the voters.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION 89! RETURN ELECTIONS TO THE VOTERS AND

REDUCE THE POWER OF THE SPECIAL INTERESTS.

Deborah Burger, RN, President

California Nurses Association

Harvey Rosenfield, Founder

Foundation for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights

SUBJECT TO COURT

ORDERED CHANGES



Susan Lerner, Executive Director

California Clean Money Campaign

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES